Teriflunomide, cognition and MRI: a longitudinal study

L. Pfaff¹, M. Mondino², Q. Loeb², V. Noblet², C. Berthe³, L. Kremer³, K. Bigaut³, N. Collongues³, J. De Seze³

- 1. University of Strasbourg, Strasbourg, Biopathology of Myelin, Neuroprotection and Therapeutic Strategies, INSERM U1119, Strasbourg, France
- 2. University of Strasbourg, CNRS UMR 7357, ICube, FMTS, Strasbourg, France
- 3. University Hospitals of Strasbourg, CIC (Clinical Investigation Centre) INSERM 1434 and Neurology Department, Strasbourg, France

Introduction & Objectives

As cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis (MS) is a frequent and disabling symptom, it is particularly important to identify treatments that have proven efficacity in this aspect of the disease. Several disease-modifying therapies for MS have been evaluated and shown to have a potential effect on cognition, but to date there is very little data with Teriflunomide (TRF), and its neurobiological correlates. *The objective* is to explore the influence of TRF on comprehensive cognitive function and its MRI correlations (global and focal brain volume) in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS) after two years of therapy.

Methods	Results
Study design & population	Evolution for all patients
1. Two assement timepoints	1. Global cognitive impairment evolution
MRI + Cognitive assessment M24 (24 months TRF treatment) Same MRI + Cognitive assessment	Percentage of patients with pathological BCcogSEP (4 or more subtests <10h perc) at inclusion (M0) and after two





CUBE



2. Two levels of analyses



Preserved group **Impaired** group All group p-value*** N=9 n =24 n=15 38.83 (8.75) 36.80 (8.39) 42.22 (8.71) Age*, in years 0.08 Years of education 14.29 (1.99) 14.13 (1.81) 14.56 (2.35) 0.60 5/4 Female/Male 14 / 10 9/6 0.86 6.5 (6.45) 8.27 (6.94) 3.56 (4.45) **Disease duration*** 0.07 EDSS score** 1 (0 - 2.5) 1 (0 - 2.5) 1 (0 - 2) 0.98 24 (0) **TRF duration**^{*} in months 23.21 (2.72) 21.89 (4.26) 0.38

*mean (standard deviation); **median (range); EDSS = Expanded Disabillity Status Scale; *** comparison between preserved versus impaired group

• MRI analyses

subtests < 10th percentile at baseline)

Cognitive assessment: BCcogSEP

BCcogSEP is a French, short, comprehensive assessment test battery for patients with MS¹. the PASAT test was removed from the analyses due to missing data (> 30%).

M0 M24

years of TRF treatment (M24)

2. Performance evolution for each test for all population

Comparison of mean raw scores between inclusion (M0) and after two years of TRF treatment (M24). *p<0.05

3. MRI correlation with cognition performance

Corpus callosum volume correlates positively with SRT total recall evolution (links) and with semantic fuency evolution (right) . (we used residual scores for cognition)

Group comparisons

Preserved Group

Impaired Group

1. Between group cognitive performance evolution

SRT total recall (p=0.025):

The evolution of group performance differs only for SRT test. No other group differences in the other tests.

SRT test mean number of word (p=0.032)

2. Intra-group analyses for cognition:

(verbal episodic memory) 10/36 (visuo-spatial memory) Digit Span (auditory verbal working memory) DSST/Code (processing speed) Crossed Tapping (executiv function: shifting) Go/no-go (executiv function: Ihnibition) Verbal fluency (verbal initiation)

Immediate and delayed recall

→ Direct and indirect

Number of correct answers in 1 min 30 sec

→ Mean number of words, learning (%), delayed recall

Number of errors

Number of errors

Number of words in 2 min for letter p and for animals

Performances are analysed in raw scores or residual score (= the difference between the expected and obtain scores, corrected for age, sex and education level)

Impaired groupImproved atSRT mean number (p=0.013), learning (p=0.020), recall (p=0.01)10/36 recall (p=0.033) GonoGo (p=0.024) _ No deterioration in any testPreserved groupImproved at.SRT recall (p=0.025)_ No deterioration in any test

3. Between group MRI difference

Cognitive impaired group differ from preserved group at white matter volume evolution (p=0.003) and PBVC volume evolution (p=0.016) (lost of matter)

* PBCV for Pourcent Brain Volume Change

Conclusion

Results suggest that TRF treatment has a positive effect on cognitive functioning of RRMS patients, particularly those with cognitive impairment. Improvements are observed in verbal episodic memory for all patients but also in visuo-spatial memory and inhibition for patients with cognitive impairment. MRI analyses suggest a strong link between corpus callosum size and changes in cognitive performances. Patients with cognitive impairment are more likely to lose with matter and PBVC volumes. The patient's cognitive status appears to be an important factor to take into account for treatment choice.

1. Dujardin et al, 2004

With the support of Sanofi